	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/088,100	IDO, YOSHIYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in t or other appropriate commun GHTS. This application is sul	his application. If not included ication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to the election of October	e <u>r 24, 2003</u> .	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5 and 13-19</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 22 March 2002 are accepted by the	Examiner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 	be been received. been received in Application cuments have been received in the file a communication to file a	No in this national stage application from the
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMes reason(s) why the oath or c	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	son's Patent Drawing Review of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution on the solution of	n the Office action of drawings In the front (not the back) of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATER	RIAL must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 0302, 0502, 0403 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Sur Paper No./M 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's A	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) mmary (PTO-413), fail Date mendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance ERNEST KARLSEN

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2829

The Restriction Requirement of September 23, 2003 required election between Group I, claims 1-5 and 13-19, and Group II, claims 6-12. In response Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-5 and 9-13. It is assumed that Applicant intended to elect Group I, claims 1-5 and 13-19, and prosecution of the present application will proceed as if Applicant elected claims 1-5 and 13-19 without traverse.

Claims 6-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 1003.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 6-12 to an invention non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claims 6-12 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-5 and 13-19 are allowed.

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance: No reference was found which anticipates any of the claims under any section of 35 U.S.C. 102. No reference or references were found for which motivational reasons exist for obviousness or obvious combination to make any of the claims unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103. In particular the combination of a performance substrate having a terminal for inspection, a contactor substrate having a probe for contacting an object to be

Application/Control Number: 10/088,100 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

inspected and a probe card having a multi-layered substrate in which a resin thin film is laminated on a ceramic board was not found in the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wood et al, Farnworth and Akram et al are all cited to show prior art contactor substrates wherein a thin film resin is laminated on a ceramic board. Budnaitis is cited to show an inspecting device wherein the object to be inspected is placed between the performance substrate and the probe card.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

February 6, 2004

ERNEST KARLSEN

BRIMARY EXAMINER